

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,835	04/21/2004	Jilene A. Repp	074313-0116	5316	
26371 75	590 03/14/2006		EXAMINER		
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP			JOHNSON, BLAIR M		
777 EAST WIS SUITE 3800	SCONSIN AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, WI 53202-5308		3634		

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application I	lo.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/828,835		REPP ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Blair M. Johns		3634				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	nication appe	ars on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum street to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA sof 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS (a). In no event, he apply and will expand the applications.	COMMUNICATION blowever, may a reply be time bire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed he mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .							
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowand	ce except for	formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	• •			□ Intention C	(DTO 440)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/20/04</u> .			Notice of Informal P		O-152)			

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 28,29 and 38-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 28, it is not clear which opening is being referenced since openings in the front and back have been recited.

In claim 38, the term "slot-like" is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3,5-19,21-26,28,30-42,44-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Niemi.

In certain claims, elements 10 and 12 are the face and return sections while in other claims elements 13 and 14 represent these elements. Each of these elements are considered to be "substantially" rigid and some meet the limitation of a "trim" member. Each "trim piece" has "segments", or portions. Trim member 12 has two sections. The "retainer" is either the channel in element 10 or element 13. A flexible covering directly covers both sections 13 and 14 (see Fig. 6) and also covers sections 10 and 12 since elements 13 and 14 cover these elements. Each of the respective sets of elements have connectors at their ends for connection. The terms "front" and "back" referencing

the sides of the face section are broad and what may be the front in the present invention may be considered the back in Niemi. Face section 10 has two sections joined by a connector forming interlocking "substantially cylindrical" channels. See tabs 22, separate pieces 32,22, that define a gap therebetween.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niemi in view of Badalamenti.

Badalamenti provides a cornice that has slots in the "front" and "back" sides. It would have been obvious to provide slots in the outwardly facing side of element 13 in Niemi so as to provide a different appearance.

Claims 20 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niemi in view of Hatziathanasiou.

The use of a T-shaped connector is well known, as illustrated by Hatziathanasiou at 61. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to modify Niemi whereby his fasteners 79 are of such a shape so as to be more easily inserted and removed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

Art Unit: 3634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blair M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

BMJ 3/6/06